

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/623,439	12/04/2000	Edward Hendry Baker	033107-001	3867
38706	7590 10/19/2006		EXAMINER	
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP 1530 PAGE MILL ROAD			LEE, Y YOUNG	
	D, CA 94304		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
			2621	
			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/623,439	BAKER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Y. Lee	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply			dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the n  earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  pply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this co  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u>	12 October 2006					
	This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		·				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 18-20</u> is/are pending in	the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 18-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		ov the Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •	R 1 121/d)			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority under 35 H.S.C. &	119(a) <sub>-</sub> (d) or (f)				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	sign priority under 55 0.0.0. g	119(a)-(u) 01 (1).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		onlication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			Stane			
application from the International Bu		received in this Hational C	Jiage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		eceived.	-			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of In	formal Patent Application				
-por releption but	o) L Other:	<b></b> ·				

Application/Control Number: 09/623,439 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/2/06 has been entered.

#### Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 10/31/05 is acknowledged.

#### **Priority**

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 09/623,439 Page 3

Art Unit: 2621

5. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8-12, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lemelson et al (2002/0022927) for the same reasons as set forth in Section 14 of the previous office action, dated 2/10/06.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lemelson et al (2002/0022927) in view of Applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA) for the same reasons as set forth in Section 17 of the previous office action, dated 2/10/06.

It is noted Lemelson et al differs from the present invention in that it fails to particularly disclose any details regarding the arrangement of antennas 10 as specified in claims 3 and 4. AAPA however, on page 15, lines 5-8 and page 17, lines 5-7, for

examples, teaches the concept of such well known feature as setting up helical antennas at the proper height from the ground.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, having both the references of Lemelson et al and AAPA before him/her, to exploit the well known antenna arrangement as taught by AAPA in the system of Lemelson to provide the proper space and altitude in order to move or receive the desired signals.

9. Claims 7, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lemelson et al in view of Jones et al (5,194,843) for the same reasons as set forth in Section 18 of the previous office action, dated 2/10/06.

# Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 10/2/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant asserts on pages 8-9 of the Remarks that Lemelson et al fails to disclose transmitting video signals. However, [0104] discloses the concept of such common video transmission from a mobile object as it moves around a race track.

Applicant also asserts on pages 10-11 of the Remarks that Lemelson et al fails to disclose how controller 12 selects the video signals. However, [0097] discloses the concept of such common position computation by controller 12 in order to communicate with various towers 10.

Art Unit: 2621

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Y. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-7334.

The examiner can normally be reached on (571) 272-7334.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Groody can be reached on (571) 272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Y. Lee

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2621